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Abstract
Philosophers were not able to make progress because they have too many degrees of freedom in their analysis. We know from the time we are babies, that something exists. We exist, as we know from the age of reason, and the world exists outside of ourselves. Let’s look at that world outside of ourselves. I was told by a youngster that if you trace pages on Wikipedia, they all lead to Philosophy. Could they lead to religion? Is Religion a branch of Philosophy? Or is Philosophy a branch of Religion? I think the former is correct: Religion is a branch of Philosophy. I had a friend who said that his father, a lawyer said that God is a lawyer. I said no, He is a gardener. I am the branch, and my father is the gardener. He likes to see things grow. I suppose if you consider the Bible as a book of law, God can be considered a Lawyer. Furthermore, God viz Jessus was a carpenter. My father was a gardener and a carpenter. God can be said to be a mathematician. That’s what I am essentially. We will consider God as a Mathematician and do some of His calculations in the creation of the universe.

Astro-Theology: An Analysis
From my Physics, we know that everything, in the observable universe, can be reduced to Energy, time, Mass and space. Since space is the cross product of Energy and time, then we really only have two components to the observable universe, names Energy and time. I’ve shown that Energy and time are resulted by the inverse of one another: E=1/t.

Now Mass is where Energy and time meet, since Einstein showed us that Mass is stored Energy. So, the integral of Energy and 1 results in:
Mass=∫E=∫[1/t]

E²/2=Ln t
E=√2 · Ln t
1/√2=Ln t
= sin 45°=cos 45°
y=y' The Universe had to exist.

Ln t=sin 45°=1/√2

e^{(Ln t)}=e^0.707=202.8
=Y=e^t cos θ
5
\[ \cos \theta = e^{\theta} \]
\[ \theta = 0.4236 \text{--cuz} \]
This is \(4 + 2 = 6; \ 3 + 6 = 9\) Or 69
Mass is where Energy and time meet.
Evil meets Good in the Material Universe.
Finally, \(E = Mc^2\)
\[ = (69) \ast (2.993)^2 \]
\[ = 0.61 \]
\[ \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{-1} = i = E \]
Now, I'm going to break a Law of Mathematics here.
\[ \int E = \int \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = \int t^{-1} \]
\[ E^2/2 = t^0 = 1 \]
\[ E = \sqrt{\sqrt{2}} \]
\[ E = 118.9 \text{ (Chemical Elements in the Universe)} \]
\[ 1/E = t = 0.8409 \]
\[ \sin^{-1}(0.8409) = 57.23^\circ \]
\[ = 1 \text{ radian} = t \]
So, combining these two, \(E = 0.618\) and \(t = 1\), we get:
\(E + t = 1 + i\) which is the Complex Number. Energy and time are in the Imaginary Plane. Since we know that there is a world outside of ourselves, the Imaginary is Real and they meet at the Golden Mean Parabola.
Jesus entered time at the minimum Energy level, or at \(t = 1/2\), or \(E = -1.2533\). (Matthew 25:33). "And He separated them into the Sheep and the Goats." This is the Final Judgement or Revelation 20:11. All that remains is the New Heaven and the New Earth. "See, I make all things new. It is already done. I am the alpha and the omega; the beginning and the end; the first and the last. I give drink to the thirsty without cost from the fount or living water. Come Lord Jesus! Rev. 22"
\[ E = Y = e^{-t} = 0 \]
\[ t = \infty \]
\[ e^{-\infty} = 0 \]
\[ \ln e^{-\infty} = \ln \infty \]
\[ -\infty = -\infty \]
\[ \text{true} \]
\[ -\infty \leq 0 \leq \infty \]
raise to \(e\)
\[ e^{-\infty} \leq e^0 \leq e^{\infty} \]
\[ 0 \leq 1 \leq \infty \]
Take \(\ln\) of all terms
\[ \ln 0 \leq \ln 1 \leq \ln e^{\infty} \]
\[ -\infty \leq 0 \leq \infty \]
Now
\[ d^{-\infty}/dt = e^{-\infty} \]
\[ \int d^{-\infty}/dt = e^{-\infty} \]
\[ -\infty/(1/\infty) = -\infty^2 \]
\[ \frac{d\infty}{dt} = e^\infty \]
\[
\int \frac{d\infty}{dt} = \int e^\infty
\]
\[ e^\infty = \frac{d\infty}{dt} \]
\[ -\infty \leq t \leq 0 \leq 2\infty \]
Let \( t = -\infty \)
\[ t^2 + t - 2t \geq 0 \]
\[ t^2 - t \geq 0 \]
\[ t(t - 1) \geq 0 \]
\[ t \geq 0 \text{ implies } M = \ln t \]
\[ t \geq 1 \text{ implies } M \geq 0 \]
\[ -\infty \leq t \leq \infty \]
\[ t = 0 \text{ Beginning} \]
\[ (-\infty) + (\infty)/2 = 0/2 = 0 \]
\[ -\frac{d\infty}{dt} \infty \leq 0 \leq \infty + \frac{d\infty}{dt} \]
Let
\[ \frac{d\infty}{dt} = 1/1 = 1 = E \]
\[ -1 - \infty \leq 0 \leq \infty + 1 \]
\[ [-1 - \infty + \infty + 1]/2 = -23/2 = -1 = E \text{ at } t = 0 \Rightarrow \text{GMP} \]
\[ t^2 - t - 1 = E = -1 \]
\[ t^2 + t - 2 = 0 \]
\[ t = 1; 2 \]
\[ t = E = -1 \]
\[ t = 2 = E = G \]

Energy is God. It is infinite and cannot be created nor destroyed and is therefore eternal.
Fractions = Multiples \( \Rightarrow \text{GMP} = 1 = \text{God is one}. \) Therefore God is one, infinite, eternal being.

QED
\[ t = -1/2 \quad t = 1/2 \]
\[ t = -1/2 + 1/2 = 0 \]
\[ t^2 - t - 1 = E \]
\[ (-1/2)^2 - (-1/2) - 1 = 0.75 = 1/s = 1/t \]
\[ E = 1/t \text{ when } s = t \]
\[ t^2 - t - 1 = E = 1/t \]
\[ t^2 + t - 1 = E \]
\[ t = 0 \]
\[ E = 1 \]
\[ (-1/2)^2 - (-1/2)^2 - (-1/2) - 1 = E \]
\[ E = 0.375 = 1/2.666 = 1/F = -E \]
\[ s = E \times t = |E||t|\sin \theta \]
Let \( s = t \)
\[ E = 1/\sin \theta = 1/F = 1/t \]

QED
Continuing,
\[ \int (A+B) = c \]
\[ = |\frac{1}{2}| + |\frac{1}{2}| = 1 \]
A = B
\[ \int 2A = 2A^2/2 = 1 \]
A^2 = 1
A = \sqrt{1} = \pm 1
A + B = 1
1 + 0 = 1
1 + (-1) = 0 Meet
QED

Now the tortoise and the hare problem:
E = 1/e = e^a
From n = 1 → ∞ \[ \sum t = 1/2 \cdot 1/2 \cdot 1/2 \cdot (1/2)^n \]
\[ \int t \, dt = (1/2)^n \]
\[ t^2/2 = (1/2)^n \]
\[ t^2 = 1/2^n \]
\[ t^2 = 2(1/2)^n \]
\[ t = \sqrt{1/2^{n-1}} \]
\[ t = \pm 1/2^{(n-2)/2} \]
\[ \ln t = M = \ln (+1)/(n/2-1/2) \cdot 2 \]
M = 0/(n-1)
M = t/(n-1)
M(n-1) = t = 0
M = 0 (n-1) = 0
n = 1 @ t = 0
So,
\[ \int t \, dt = (1/2)^n = (1/2)^1 \]
\[ t^2/2 = 1/2 \]
\[ t = 1 \]
\[ t = \pm 1 \]
\[ t = KE = 1/2Mv^2 \]
\[ \pm 1 = 1/2M(1/\sqrt{2})^2 \]
M = \ln t = ±4
\[ e^4 = 54.6 \]
\[ e^4 = 0.0183156 \]
\[ e^4/c^4 = 2.98 \approx c \]
QED
\[ 8/3 = 2.666 = t/c = s \]
\[ s = t = F = \sin \theta \]
\[ 1/s = 1/t = E = 1/F = 1/\sin \theta = \csc \theta = c \]
I began reading a book on Ethics in Medical Science because I thought a medical researcher should know something about the subject. I had concluded in a previous paper what I called “Cusack’s Law of Nature which instructs us that if a technology has been discovered, it must be used. This is so because every technology changes humanity’s world for the worse as well as for the better. For example, if we don’t use birth control and abortion to control human population growth, then we will wind up with too many people on Earth – more than can be fed. However, after reading Somerville’s first chapter of her book “The Ethical Canary” I have rethought my own position on where humanity should be headed regarding ethics. Einstein had said that the true theologians are those who are in the physics lab on a university campus at night seeking the thoughts of God. I agree with him.

The Prisoner’s Dilemma regions in Genetics research for example. If we don’t do it, someone else will. Or if it can be done, it will be done. I participated in a Psychology experiment in 1985 at the University of Toronto. It was a test to see how much money we would stake on the prisoner’s dilemma. I was the sucker; I never too ka cent against two other people. The idea was that the pot would double if no one touched the money in it. However, my coprisoner’s took the pot at first chance. I was left holding the bag. I didn’t know it was real money. They actually paid it out although it was a measly sum. I felt the fool yet I had kept my ethics.

I had an English teacher, Mr. Comeau, in High school who used to say, you can swing your fists as long as you like until you reach the end of my nose. I’ve often thought about that. We are free so long as our actions don’t damage others. Freedom is a good thing; who’d be against that? But how about abortion and the life that is snuffs out. Where do we draw the line. I find it interesting that the most important policy that political parties have is their stance on abortion. It comes up in every election although leaders don’t want to talk about it unless they want to change the status quo. In Canada the recent Federal election had three leaders who were proabortion. There was no choice despite the fact that abortion is speak of the priority of choice. They call themselves “prochoice.”

I began my life as a Roman Catholic and an Alter Boy. I gradually lost my faith by the time I was 15. After that I bemoaned that he was no sense of community in our culture. What I didn’t know was that that community was in the Church. The Catholic Church is very sterile. Modern Hymns were frowned upon as being protestant. I later found community in a Wesleyan Church. They had the hymns. I’m told be a friend that is what they call emotionalism. I eventually ended up back in the Catholic Church, only to leave it again. I’m not sure what I believe. I recently read a book on Sir Isaac Newton. Essentially, I believe what he believed, until now. He believed in the Apostles Creed without the latter part “I believe in the Holy Catholic Church; the Communion of Saints; the forgiveness of Sin; the resurrection of the body, and life everlasting.” It’s hard to believe in life after death. Faith seems like Santa Clause for Adults; not everything adds up.

I had a friend who I was best man for. He destroyed my reputation because he said that he wanted to be popular. He was a Catholic. He destroyed me and my hopes for a normal married life. I don’t forgive him. Then I encountered a fellow who was a bully. I encountered a lot of bullies in my life, but this one was particularly bad. He hated engineers because he was a drop out of engineering school after only a week of trying. He poisoned me at the company party with a chemical that makes one sterile. He was the type who deserved prison. I didn’t seek his punishment although there was ample proof and witnesses.

When we had religion – one religion in the West, we had community. Community is a human need as Abraham Maslow tells us. I have experience life without a community. When I was about 15, I had no one to have a baseball catch with. My friends were all into either dope or alcohol. Since we need community, decisions that affect the collective affects us all. Contrary to the Simon and Garfunkel song, We are not islands.

There were three instances where I came head-to-head with my own ethics. One was with a girl I was dating. She may never have been pregnant, but had she been, I may have considered abortion. It’s one thing to live in an ivory tower and spout off about abortion; its anther to get in the ring as John F Kennedy said of politics. My parents are at an age where they are no longer productive. They are both in the same nursing home. If I were faced with a Do Not Resuscitate call, I probably would let them go. But I wouldn’t actively seek euthanasia. I live with people who have a disability -mentally challenged. I must admit I wonder why we keep some of them alive since they do nothing but suffer. They are a great deal of work and cost to keep alive yet add nothing to the society. Should we bother? Where do we draw the line? Down’s syndrome?
Humans either by design or circumstance, are part of a larger thing. Sometimes we must sacrifice the individual for the collective. An example is war. Individuals give up all they have for the sake of a cause of the collective. It's an amazing thing. Trump calls these war heroes suckers. Of course, he was sly enough to avoid being drafted with the help of a crooked doctor as a favour to Donald's father.

Today politics in the USA has gone south as they say. Tribalism has resulted in two competing factions that will never see eye to eye. There must be a refighting of the US Civil War as a result.

When I was growing up, we lived in a big old house on Lansdowne Ave in the commercial district of Saint John North. My friends lived in small slat box type houses that were built after WWII for the returning soldiers. Humans divide us until we ultimately reach just ourselves. Everyone is against us eventually. I had a senior high school teacher who said we'd be lucky if we have one friend in life. I was close. I've had many friends throughout life. None were perfect. Neither was I. Forgiveness is necessary if we are to keep friends. Of course, we all move on and make new friendships. It's a learning process.

If we give pre-eminence to the values of personal autonomy ad self determination, and competent adults’ rights to decide for themselves, the result is likely to be a judgment that most applications of reproductive technology and euthanasia are acceptable. [1]

Religion, nay the Church, has lost its moral authority thanks in large part to the negligent priests. God is on the losing side of faith today because of science as well. That's why I wrote Astrotheology, which seeks to reunite science and religion. When I was growing up, I asked myself, who shall believe: the scientist or the priests. I that I had to choose since they were at loggerhead. Or at least that is what my 15-year-old brain thought. When I was converted in 1995, I came into contact for the first time really, with the bible. I studied it 8 hours per day for two years. Then I became sick. I kept my renewed faith through it all. I returned to the Catholic faith, my roots. I was never so moved emotionally. Tears ran down my face while I was at Mass for the first time in 13 years -a long time when you are young.

Somerville asks, “Is anything inherently wrong?” People make themselves out to be God of themselves. They think they can make up themselves what is sinful and what isn’t. One fellow said to me, “I believe in God.” I said, Sean, even the devil believes in God.

Are morals relative? There is a underlying current among even those who think they are Catholic because “they believe in God” that morals are relative. It’s a mistrust of authority that goes back to the 1960’s at least-even centuries if we consider Galileo and Copernicus. Priests and popes have made the matter worse -much worse. I pity those poor priests who are wayward. They have an enormous responsibility to represent God on Earth. Many neglect that duty.

There is a Truth outside of ourselves. Even pure scientists would adhere to that. They spend their lives in pursuit of the Truth. Where they go wrong is in thinking that the so-called myths of the Bible cannot possibly be true. The Truth is, as I’ve discovered, is that both science and myth are true at the same time. For example, how could Methuselah live to be 900 years old? The answer is that his age, along with the other patriarchs such as Noah have logarithmic ages. The six days of creation in Genesis 1 is valid. In fact, I’ve shown that the ancient cultures (Egyptian; Babylonian; Sumerian, Hebrew, Indian) knew more mathematical physics back then than we knew today. Interesting that Newton realized this which is why he studied the dimensions of Solomon’s temple. The Ancient peoples knew about the golden mean parabola. They knew the logarithmic tables, the quadratic equation etc. In short, their myths were not myths at all; they were coded science. The Bible calls it the Key of David. I will give him the key of David; what he opens, no one shuts. What he shuts, no one opens.

Modern Man in the West gets his community fulfilled through Television and the internet. What they really seek is community in the flesh. They like Star Trek abut enjoy the comradery more. The only get a little enjoyment out of life. They anticipate no eternal life. They think they will simply be “recycled”. They consider themselves as following the god of science when they really shouldn’t be trying to figure it out themselves. They are not bright enough. If school had taught them anything, it should be that they are average or below average intelligence. This is the fruit of democracy -every man a king.
David Suzuki, the Canadian Environmental and Genetic Scientist wrote a book entitled The Legacy. He quotes elders from about the world who are aboriginals, whom he respects. They talk about the spirit found in Nature. However, Suzuki is an atheist. He doesn’t believe that there is anything special about human beings. He writes of his own mortality as returning to the Earth. But he does see that everything in the biosphere is interconnected with everything else. Therefore, he urges us to take care of the biosphere; our existence depends upon it. Suzuki is a genetic reductionist— the view that we are nothing more than the expression of our genes. He doesn’t write of a soul, but he does write about the spirit. He doesn’t believe in an immortal soul. Reductionism is what has gotten humanity on the wrong track regarding believe in God.

So, what is the difference between human life which is sacred, and animal life which isn’t. Humans were made in the Image of God. In fact, God became Man taking on flesh.

This brings us to Somerville again. She explains that logos (science) and Mythos (mythology) are at opposite ends of a spectrum that she says is linear. This evokes AT Math since it provides a solution to the Two Pole Problem. The sine curve is zero at \( t=0 \). The cosine curve is 1 at \( t=0 \). These are the two poles. Where do they meet? At \( t=45 \) degrees or \( \pi/4 \) radians. The Energy value is \( 1/\sqrt{2} = 0.707 \). The conjugate is \( 1-0.707 = 0.293 \).

So the two pole solution applies to Individualism vs collectivism as well. The happy meeting poit is at \( t=45 \) degrees etc.

A Japanese saying, according to Somerville:

As the radius of knowledge increases, the circumference of ignorance expands. The more we know, the more we know the extent of that which we do not know.

Circumference=Area

\[ 2\pi R = \pi R^2 \]

\[ R = 2 \]

Circumference=Area= \( 2\pi(2) = 4\pi = 1.25 \) \( \Rightarrow \) Golden Mean parabola.

We can’t know everything that occurs in space and time unless we had an awfully big computer! But we can know the law that governs everything. That law is the Golden Mean Parabola (GMP). It occurs where the fraction equals the multiple.

\[-\infty \leq 0 \leq +\infty \]

\[-Z + -dZ/dt < 0 \]

\[ Z = dZ/dt \]

Let \( Z = E \)

\[ E = dE/dt \]

\[ 1/\sin \theta = 1/t = 1/\sin t = \csc t = c = 3 \]

\[ E = M = \ln t \]

\[ dE/dt = 1/t \]

\[ 1/t = 1/\sin \theta = E \]

\[ 1/\sin t = 3 \]

\[ t = 19.47^\circ \]

\[ t - 90^\circ = -0.707 \approx 1/\sqrt{2} = v = a \]

\[ -\infty \leq 0 \leq +\infty \]

\[ t^2 - t - 1 = E \]

\[ 0^2 - 0 - 1 = E \]

\[ E = -1 \]

\[ <0,-1> \Rightarrow \text{GMP Balances infinity.} \]

\[ x = 1/(x-1) \]

\[ 0 = 1/(0-1) \]
\[
0 = -1 \\
\sin 0 = -\cos 0 \\
0 = -1 \\
y = y' \\
-e^t = e^{-t} \\
e^t \rightarrow t = +\infty \Rightarrow E = 0 \\
t^2 - t - 1 = E \\
3^2 - 3 - 1 = 5 \Rightarrow \text{Holy spirit} = 5 \\
t = 3 = 3 \text{ persons} \\
1^2 - 1 - 1 = -1 \\
1 \text{ God} \\
\]

I’ve written other papers on Ethics and Religion, including:
- Do You Believe?
- Living the Good Death: Palliative Care for the Wise
- Structural Evolution of the Human Spine; How we became upright.
- The Solution to Sociology

I leave you off with a photograph of the Idol of the Oppressor or the Great Abomination of Scripture set up in the Holy Place, in the place it should not be. It is supposed to be a painting of the Divine mercy icon; but is in fact a painting of the antichrist. Momahid a Bin Ladden converted from the Greek alpha numeric adds to 666. The sign of the Beast stands for the name of a man. If you are clever, you can figure it out.

Figure 1. Divine Mercy Icon at the Cathedral of the Immaculate Conception, Saint John Canada

Newton summarized his Arian Christology in twelve points which appear to date from the period 1672-5. In counterpoint to his heresy, I present my 12 points based on the Apostles Creed.

1. Do you believe in transubstantiation?

Transubstantiation occurs when the bread and wine is changed into the Body and Blood of Our Savior Jesus Christ. I went to Daily Mass for 10 years, from 1987-2006 when the Redemptorist Order left town. I’m not sure why I stopped going to Mass then? The secret of Transubstantiation is not to be seen with the eyes. In fact, it should not be touched by the human hand. (Mother Tereasa of Calcutta said that is the greatest evil of our day.). I know that transubstantiation is true because I could feel the attraction for the host. My two hands would come together automatically when I was in the communion line. Not every time. It took nearly 10 years. But I feel like I’ve received enough communions. A fellow who was with a photography club photographing the Cathedral interior told me he can see auras. He said mine was the brightest he ever saw. I should have asked him questions. I couldn’t think of any. A the time.

2. Do you believe in the priesthood and their being ontologically different?
The Catholic Priesthood has been under attack for many decades now. Well, it always was under attack. The priest’s vocation is to bring Christ to people in Words and in Bread. Priests are sinners. Some priests are wicked sinners. However, this does not impair their ability to change the bread and wine into the Body and Blood of Christ. The sinful priests should go to Confession. Do I believe in Confession? Yes I do. When I stopped going to Confession weekly, I stopped sinning. The Devil is always after those who try to approach God. In addition, if the devil can get a priest to fall, he steals many souls.

3. Do you believe in the Catholic Church and the Holy Pope the Head thereof?

Even though the Church is headed in Rome, there is only one Church of Jesus Crist. In fact, Jesus last words before He ascended into Heaven were, “Father, May they all be one, as I am in you, and you are in me.” The Catholic Church is more than just the teaching church or the church of the apostles. It is the only Church of Jesus Christ which He founded upon Cephas (Peter) before ascending into Heaven. The Catholic Church is the only church where a Catholic can get the sacred communion. The Sacred Communion is a prerequisite for entry into Heaven. There is no salvation outside the Catholic Church. This is to be taken literally.

4. Do you believe in the immortal soul? Do you believe in life after death?

When I was in grade 1 or 2, (6 or 7 years of age) I recall the experience for the first time of my soul. I immediately felt compassion for everyone. I loved everybody instantly. I was told by a psychiatrist years later that this is your soul. So yes, I believe in a soul. If we have a soul, it must be immortal. Otherwise, why have one? When we see a corpse, it is hard to imagine that there is a soul. It appears that there is only a dead corpse. Really there is only a dead corpse. The soul has entered eternity.

5. Do you believe in the resurrection of the dead?

Yes, I do believe in the resurrection of the dead because the Bible says so. I have no other reason to believe otherwise. I know that there was the General Judgement. I am the King who proclaimed the Judgment. It occurred at the local Cathedral. The Great abomination was up over my head as I sat in the presider’s chair. On November 1, All Saints Day, I looked out and on the far right, I could see soul after soul, shoulder to shoulder out the door and across East Saint John as far as the eye could see. I said, “Away yee cursed into the everlasting fire which has been prepared for you from the beginning of time. For when I was hungry you gave me nothing to eat; When I was thirsty, you gave me nothing to drink. When I was sick, you didn’t visit me. When I was a stranger, you didn’t welcome me. When I was in prison, you didn’t visit me.” One asked, “When did we not do this for you? We never saw you. Whenever you didn’t do it for the least of my brethren, you did not do it for me.” I got up form the chair, kissed the altar and left.

The next day, November 2, Feast of All Souls, I was lead to the same presider’s chair again. This time I looked out and on the near right, I saw three souls: Br Anthony Opiso; Br Leo LeBlanc, and Br Paul Cusack. Br Anthony was distraught and sat with his chin on his hands. Br Leo was turned away from the cursed. And I had a big smile on my face. Br Anthony had the Carmelite Habit on. Br Leo had the Trappist habit on. And I had on the Redemptorist habit. I said, “come yee Blessed in to the eternal Kingdom which has been prepared for you from the beginning of time. For when I was hungry, you gave me to eat. When I was thirsty you gave me to drink. When I was sick you visited me. When I was a stranger, you welcomed me. When I was in prison, you visited me.” When did we do that for you? We never saw you. Whenever you did it for the least of my brethren, you did it for me.” I got up, kissed the altar, and left. That was the General Judgement.

6. Do you believe in Christ as God’s only Son?

No Christ is not God’s only son. He has two sons. One is Moshiach Ben David; the other is Moshiach Ben Joseph or Ben Ephraim. Jesus is Moshiach Ben David. I’m moshiach Ben Joseph. (Ben mean son of). My name tells you who I am. Paul is the Roman version of Saul -the first King of Israel. Thomas means “the twin.” Edward is the Scottish version of the name Ephraim. And Cusack is MacIsaac as any keychain will tell you. Abraham; Isaac, and Jacob. Joseph the patriarch (not Mary’s husband) had two sons named Manesseh and Ephraim. When Joseph was dying, he crossed his hands and gave the right-hand blessing to Ephraim, the younger twin. Manesseh protested. Joseph said, you too will be the father of a great nation (North American Indians). The Second messiah comes from Ephraim. He is King of Israel. Jesus is king of the Jews.

7. Do you believe that Jesus is God- coequal, and coeternal with the Father?
Despite the fact that Jesus doesn’t know all the Father’s Secretes, Jesus did say that He and the Father are one. Jesus didn’t know when the Second Coming would be. He said, “The Father is greater than I.” From Scripture alone, it is not clear how Jesus could be co-equal to the father. In Jewish tradition, the son is co-equal to his father.

8. Do you believe in the Holy Spirit?
Yes, I believe in the Holy Spirit. Beside the fact that He helped me pass a lot of exams, He can shake the life out of people when I call upon Him. He shakes the whole environment. I have direct, physical proof of the Holy Spirit.

9. Do you believe that the universe was created by God?
No, I think the universe created itself. In my Physics, Astrotheology, the Super force results causing mass, time, and space to result. God is pure energy that cannot be created not destroyed. Energy is eternal. God is energy. In Him we live and move and have our body. All mass and time can be reduced to Energy. Everything is Energy; Everything is God.

10. Do you believe in the Resurrection of Jesus?
At first, it is incredible to believe that a Man could be raised from the dead. Without relying on the eye witness accounts, I believe He was resurrected because Jesus is God and can’t be destroyed. He can suffer, but not be defeated.

11. Do you believe in a place called Heaven?
From Astrothoelogy, we know that the physical universe is closed. We don’t know where the universe exists. Some scientists say there is no space outside of space. I think three-dimensional space exists outside the physical universe. Space is simply the vector product of Energy and time. But God is infinite. Therefore, space is infinite. The Physical universe is closed. Therefore, there must be Heaven outside of the physical universe, whether is an ellipsoid or a torus.

12. Do you believe in Judgement of the living and the dead and do you believe in life everlasting?
There are two Judgements for every soul: 1) the General Judgement (mentioned above); and 2) the particular Judgement. This is the one that you get “before you hit the floor Bear in mind that only a remnant is saved. There are the Apostles; the Seven Great intercessors, The Three Saints Leo Anthony me Brother Saints =LAMBS). The rest are lost (GOATS =God Only Allows Three Saints.) There are a total of 23 people saved.

Wikipedia proves that an outstanding problem of Ethics is the question of “moral luck”. Here is how they describe the problem:

The problem of moral luck is that some people are born into, live within, and experience circumstances that seem to change their moral culpability when all other factors remain the same.
For instance, a case of circumstantial moral luck: a poor person is born into a poor family, and has no other way to feed himself so he steals his food. Another person, born into a very wealthy family, does very little but has ample food and does not need to steal to get it. Should the poor person be more morally blameworthy than the rich person? After all, it is not his fault that he was born into such circumstances, but a matter of "luck".
A related case is resultant moral luck. For instance, two persons behave in a morally culpable way, such as driving carelessly, but end up producing unequal amounts of harm: one strikes a pedestrian and kills him, while the other does not. That one driver caused a death and the other did not is no part of the drivers' intentional actions; yet most observers would likely ascribe greater blame to the driver who killed (compare consequentialism and choice).
The fundamental question of moral luck is how our moral responsibility is changed by factors over which we have no control.

So what does Jesus, the Master, have to say about the question of moral luck?
According to the Gospel of Mark, Chapter 10. Jesus encountered a “lucky “ man, known as the Rich Young Man. Here it is:
Jesus and the rich man
(Mt 19:16; Lk 18:18)

• 17 Just as Jesus was setting out on his journey again, a man ran up, knelt before him and asked, “Good Master, what must I do to have eternal life?” 18 Jesus answered, “Why do you call me good? No one is good but God alone. 19 You know the commandments: Do not kill, do not commit adultery, do not steal, do not bear false witness, do not cheat, honor your father and mother.” 20 The man replied, “I have obeyed all these commandments since my childhood.” 21 Then Jesus looked steadily at him and loved him and he said, “For you, one thing is lacking. Go, sell what you have and give the money to the poor, and you will have riches in heaven. Then come and follow me.” 22 On hearing these words, his face fell and he went away sorrowful for he was a man of great wealth.

How hard for the rich to discover the kingdom!

• 23 Jesus looked around and said to his disciples, “How hard it is for those who have riches to enter the kingdom of God!” 24 The disciples were shocked at these words, but Jesus insisted, “Children, how hard it is to enter the kingdom of God! 25 It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for one who is rich to enter the kingdom of God.”

Jesus tells us that it is hard for people who are rich to enter Heaven. Since the purpose of life is a test to see if we are worthy to enter Heaven, then the rich young man is unlucky. How many would say that a rich young man was unlucky because of his great wealth?

Note that when Jesus was asked what must I do to receive eternal life? Jesus responded that one must kept the commandments. The commandments that are listed in Mark’s gospel do not include the first three commandments, duty to God. They also do not include the coveting commandments. Instead the commandments that are included are duty to neighbour. Richness is relative to one’s neighbour. Each person’s cross is suited to his personality and weaknesses as the oxen’s yoke is tailed to a specific ox. Fr. Paul Doucette, CSsR, told me that everyone is tested in every possible way. Some sins are more likely at a given age. For example, the three views of Religious life, Chastity, poverty and obedience, are one more difficult at a given season of life. Chastity at the younger years; poverty at the middle years; and obedience in the older years.

Fr. Jim Davis, CSsR explained to me that wealth can be an albatross, hardly luck at all. In the Great Depression, many who jumped form then high-rises in NY City upon the Stock Market Crash of 1929, had lost the wealth the unlucky man never had to being with.

Fr. Gerry Grant, CSsR tells us that one never misses what one never had. I think he was manly referring to celibacy. One may not think the celibate lucky at all. In fact, many would consider him unlucky. Most religious, such as Br. Alphonsus gave thanks for the great God given gift of his vocation as a Redemptorist Brother. Is it lucky to have no money, no say, and no sex?

A Psychiatrist questions why one would consider that a person who had , say good looks, was any better off than him without? The have more temptation. Perhaps its unlucky it o be gifted. Indeed, to him who has been given much, much will be expected. Is that luck?

Fr. Tom O’Brien, CSsR, comments that the last sin to go dispends upon death to the self. Jesus said that unless a seed falls to the ground and dies, it remains but a seed – no different. But seeds that fall on good ground (luck), produce fruit, fruit that will last. So the concept of being lucky is reversed in Jesus’ preaching.

The goal of life is Heaven, which can only be entered by the hard an narrow way. If we luck in this life, we may be puffed with pride, as my good friend Eddie Slattery tells me. If we are puffed up, we can’t pass through the narrow way; the needle in the city wall that the camel can’t pass through.

Finally, Jesus said, If you love your life you’ll lose it (un lucky); if you hate your life, you’ll keep it for eternal life. Ultimately, we must die to the self if we are entre Heaven. Only the good die young.” The Our Father prayer, given to us by Jesus Himself, states, May thy will be done (God’s) on Earth as it is in Heaven. How many consider dying to one’s wishes is lucky. In fact, everyone virtually is striving to exert their will. Money allow one
to do that. That is why I think Jesus said, “It is easier for the camel to pass through the every of the needle that for a rich ((lucky) man top enter Heaven. The unluckiest of us all, is in fact, the luckiest of us all. Jesus said, the first shall be last, and the last shall be first. How many have heard this but do not live it.

The second question is much simpler to answer if we consider Jesus’ teaching on adultery. He said, that mam man who looks upon a woman with lust in his heart has already committed adult. That man is as culpable as the first.

In conclusion, the luckiest of us all is the least fortunate in temporal terms. The most fortunate of us all are the least lucky in spiritual terms.

Western civilization is under great stain. Some may say we are witnessing the first death throws of the death of the West; certainly, its decline. The decline began with the hippies in the 1960’s as the threat of nuclear annihilation threatened the continued existence of man. We ae also saw the change of the role of women. Women don’t want to have babies anymore- or at least they don’t want to be mothers. We saw the rise of Liberal democracy which has led to a strengthening of the average man which threatens the environment. By liberals I mean the Baby boomers who support:

- Open homosexuality
- Sex drugs and rock and roll
- Feminism
- Abortion
- Birth control
- Multiculturalism & Foreign invasions to the West
- Multi religions & Evangelicalism
- Priestly pedophiles

The Catholic Church has imploded because of the priestly sex abuse scandals. The Church lead a long period with no competition. The Church had absolute authority and things like te inquisition resulted. The along came Martin Luther. That and the British Empire led to the rise of Protestantism and the decline of the Catholic Church.

The Catholic Church was the foundation of Western civilization. Without it, the West dies. It is dying now. European women don’t want to have and look after babies. Foreign religions don’t have feminism. Their women are having children. I read where in 30 years they will be more Muslims in Catholic France than Catholics.

The rise of scientism is also a culprit. Scientists such as Isaac Newton was contra Catholicism. Science in general lead to a decline in the Church’s power. Average people who became literate decided for themselves what they would believe. They made it up as they went along. Science really exploded in the 17th Century but really technology has taken over since WWII when science meant survival. In fact, we can say we’ve ben living in the Age of Engineering or Applied Science since Newton in the 1600’s. Engineering was at heart of Western advancement, so much so that countries such as China sent their students here to lean the ways of the West.

A corner stone of the West and liberal democracy is competition which is regulated by a strong legal system. Laws are the rules of the economic game called capitalism. The southern globe has not participated in the economic expansion. I worked out that for every degree Celsius rise in average temperature, Average IQ goes down by 6 points. So, Paris is 9 degrees colder than African cities which means a 54 point drop in IQ. That is going from an IQ of 140 -Genius -to 86 IQ: below average. Temperature and resulting IQ is the main reason that the White race has surpassed the people of color.

Engineering education is abstract thinking which is begun starting from the age of 5 when children enter the education system. Liberal democracies have always emphasised the need for early education. The universities system in the US and Great Britain is the main reason for the rise in the West. Universities in the west were encouraged by the Church. One use to be a doctor, lawyer, or Church man. Since then, thanks to independent minded men, knowledge has exploded. There is a strong work ethic among white men of the West. There are still those who take engineering in the West despite the lack or adequate remuneration does not compensate with the workload.

Such a worker attracts investment. Capital tends to accumulate and empires form. We have lived through the American Empire. We are witnesses to its decline.
India, which has come a long way since WWII and colonialism, had lifted one billion people out of poverty by its governance. It is competition with China that has done the same. The competition breeds success. However, investment in social systems is important to raise the quality of life for citizens. Every dollar invested in social capital results in savings of $6 per dollar spent. India still has the cast system; the British still have the Peerage system.

War and the threat of war leads to intense competition and government spending. This must be one of the forces that leads to advancement of Nation states, ironically. We see a tremendous difference in the economy between Israel and its Arab neighbours. Without Oil money, the Middle East combined has a GDP equivalent to that of Finland.

Nationalism or competition between Britain and Germany, or Leibniz and Newton over the invention of Calculus has led to a hearty rivalry that resulted in two world wars. We build it, then we blow it up. Engineers have their hand in this competition too. They are the ones who build the weapons. Of war. Engineers rule the World! was the slogan of a top-notch engineering school.

Anyway, the west is dying. It is being overrun by foreigners who bring their systems with them. The word is now in a global economic system. Donals Trump and his people will not stop it; although they may slow it down. It is a brave new world, and we all have something in common. We share the future together. The Catholic Church is dead. What will replace it? Perhaps Astrotheology. How about Technology. Young people are addicted to technology. Can technology replace God? It can and it has. The future belongs to those who produce the best engineers. You also need a strong work ethic. That would be China. In the words of one Chinese taxi driver, The Chinese are not smarter; work harder!” Competition with India and the threat of war with the US eggs them on as well. What they need is an open legal system to protect the person and property of the player in the economic filed. They don’t have that yet in China.

Technology such Robotics will lead to a decline in the need for blue collar workers. With Man walking on the moon, we have entered the space age which was a n offshoot of the V2 rockets developed by the Germans in WWII. Humans are now exploring space with things like the Mars rover. Private enterprise is taking over from government funded NASA. And we have an international space station. Can Nations cooperate. Perhaps it is time to do away with national borders? The world would have to be a liberal democracy -one world government that Einstein called for. The UN isn’t quite there yet. We see a backlash against this new world order from supporters of candidates such as Donald Trump. In Canada, the Conservative O’Toole is rising in popularity. At the same time, the People’s Part of Canada, Canada’s answer to the US GOP of late.

There is more than just the “color of a person’s skin” in ethnicity. However, how you feel about immigrants from all over the world will depend upon what you think the purpose of the human race is. I it to become the wealthiest. To be as open and accepting as possible? To make your way to Heaven. What is the purpose of life? That is where we begin. There are many different answers to this question. Probably most people are stomped with this question.

The Prophets called for a New World too, but it was to be run by the Holy Pope. However, the Church is dead! It died for me in 2006 AD when I stopped going to Mass. There are handful of young people taking it up- a remnant. How will the West fair without the Church? I’ve found that people from different cultures can’t live in harmony together for long. For example, the immigrant Muslims to Canada want to apply their Shira law to Canadians. This is what leads to Trumpanism. The world seems to be going in the way of a Great Divide. Western Democracies such as the USA, Great Brisan; Germany, and Canada are seeing it in their political parties. This is the backlash modelled by the Dampened Cosine curve

\[ Y = e^{-t} \cos t \]
\[ Y = e^{-1} \cos 1 \text{rad} = 0.68 \]
\[ Y = e^{68} \cos 68^\circ = 1.1004 \]
\[ 1.1004/68 = 0.016182 \]
\[ t = 1/0.016182 = 61.79 - 0.618 = -i = -\sqrt{-1} \]
\[ 1335/1290 = s/u\sqrt{1.03488} = E \]
\[
E = \frac{1}{t} \\
t = 0.96629 \\
t^2 - t - 1 = E \\
(0.96629)^2 - 0.96629 - 1 = 1.03256 \\
1.03256 / 1.03448 = 0.998 \approx 1 = E / E \\
1335 - 1290 = 45 \\
\sin^2 45^\circ + \cos^2 45^\circ = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = 1 = E = t
\]

Missionaries to Africa brought the Bible to Africa. Then, observed an African, they had the Bible, and we had the oil. They told us to pray, and we had the Bible, and they had the oil.

As for my religion which I have studied intensely most of my life since childhood, I believe the same thing Isaac Newton believed, in short the Apostles’ Creed modified. It is as follows:

I believe in one God, the Father Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth & of all things visible and invisible, and in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God who was born of the Virgin Mary, suffered under Pontious Pilate, was buried, the third day rose again from the dead. He ascended into Heaven & from thence shall come to judge the quick and the dead whose kingdom shall have no end. And I believe in the holy ghost, who spoke by the Prophets. Westfall pg. 822

There is no need for a creator. The universe is self-creating by the super force. If you have energy which is indestructible and eternal, then time and mass and space result from it. I am the Judge of the quick and the dead. The General Judgement is over. It happened at the local Cathedral under the eye of the Idol of Abomination. Only 23 were saved - the 10th prime number. There may be 8 more who are wildcards chosen by me. There are two Messiahs: son of David = Jessus; and Son of Ephraim: me. I don’t believe in Confession; nor do I believe in the Eucharist nor the Priesthood. I don’t believe in the Catholic Church. It era is over. I don’t believe in the communion of the Saints. There are only 3 of us (Br Anthony Opiso; Br Leo LeBlanc; and Br Paul Cusack.)

It is an exciting time to be alive. Of course, the Chinese curse is: May you live in interesting times!

As any red-blooded male would try to understand, is the issue of what women want? What do they really want? In this paper, I consider what I think they want. It is from years of thinking about the problem.

It seems to me that women are unhappy today. They have made great strides since the suffrage move net in the 1930’s. Before that woman were not allowed to vote; their husband did it for them. They could only work at nursing or elementary education. With WWII underway, it was realized that women could do the work of a man - probably just as well if not better. But is that what women really want?

I don’t think it is. Women have evolved to be mothers. Everything about their bodies is set so that they can attract a mate and have children. It is in their genes. Really only in the last century has women’s role changed to become more like a males. In fact, some women question why we need men at all?

I know from my experience that women lose interest in their jobs if it doesn’t involve people. Women thrive when serving people. I suppose that why they gravitated toward nursing and elementary education. The Catholic nuns used to be an option for those women who wanted to remain unmarried. They ran schools that served other women. They have made good teacher s for other women, but my experience is that they make poor teachers of boys. Of the six courses I had to repeat in my academic career, four of them were taught by first year women teachers. I wonder if women suffer the same fate with men as their teachers.

One middle aged female psychologist discovered that “boys and girls are different” much to her surprize. She said she intended to get married after she got her PhD. It didn’t work out for her. Mr. Right don’t show up and she was left dissatisfied with her life’s trajectory.

We know from Jungian psychology that most women are feelers, and most men are thinkers are the root of their decision making. I think most gay men are feelers as well. There is a huge difference between viewing the world as a feeler as opposed to a thinker. I think this is what underlies the need for women to have jobs that involve people. Can a woman tackle the man’s job? Yes, she can. Should she? Probably not. I knew of an female electrical engineer who became an elementary school teacher. EE is a difficult program. She passed it! But she
did not stick to the job. She said, “if I weren’t teaching kids, I’d be doing electrical engineering somewhere. Seems to me that she was glad she chose 25% of the salary to do a job she loved. It involved people.

How do women fair in the workplace. I worked in the construction Industry, notorious for being male dominated. I worked with a 40-year-old woman who was an estimator. She said, she wasn’t interested in putting in the long hours necessary at her age. She wanted to work in marketing. Again, it is the personal connection.

I said to a young female nurse lately, I don’t how you folks can do your job with all the needles etc. She said, we love it! That’s why we do it. Women make excellent nurses. (So do men). One senior male psychologist said that from his observations, “Women are finely tuned emotional machines.” I know my mother can detect what I’m thinking with a slight change of tone. They are expert communicators.

Brooke Baldwin, the former CNN anchor, has written a book entitled “The Huddle; How women Access their Collective Power.” Women thrive in TV. They get to get their hair and makeup done; they get to wear new clothes, ; and they get to sit around and talk (mostly) about men. What’s not to love? However, women make decisions as a collective system. They don’t do top-down military style leadership. Noone is in charge for women. They decide collectively.

Which is better, I don’t know. But trying to fit a woman into the top-down style is wrong headed at best and costly at worst. Maybe this is why women see a glass ceiling in corporate America?

Unfortunately, families need a double income to thrive today. Of course, consumption is up and that’s hard on the environment. We need to think about downsizing; one care, smaller house etc.

Truth isn’t really all that important to women. What is important is controlling the situation with the tools they have. They really don’t care about the job. That’s what I found.

Something else I noticed about women is they are risk adverse. They would rather have one bird in the hand than two in the bush. (Oops!). Risk taking is essential in entrepreneurial adventures. Nevertheless, women make great small business entrepreneurs because they are great at multitasking. Men carry a wallet; women have a purse. Its genetic. Mothers have to multitask when they a few little ones to manage.

Women also ten toward the average. Geneticist may be able to explain why better. It is likely because genetic survival is increased when you stick close to the center. You have a better chance of changing position if you are close to the center- like tennis.

Many women see men as the enemy to be overcome in the battle of the sexes. He survival of a race is dependent on women being mothers. They shouldn’t pay other people to look after their own children. Others can’t be trusted with such a precious possession I’ve learned from experience. Not even the mother’s sister will look after the child as well as the mother.

Countries outside the Western world still suppress women. It is men who suppress women. It’s a bad thing to suppress talent. Women should have the opportunity to do what they like best. I think it will involve parenting. If it doesn’t come back, the West is finished. The West is being out bred by other cultures and religions.

**Saturday, 2 April 2016**

You know, I am the greatest Theoretical Mathematical Physicists since Albert Einstein. I raised myself up from humble beginnings from parents who only had 11 years of education at most. I was educated in lowly UNBSJ as a lowly civil engineer while battling Terrorist Muslims. I come from the poorest neighborhood in the poorest city in the poorest region - the Mari-times Canada. I struggled against inhuman teachers, Professors, and coworkers and bosses. Even some of my family were against me. Yet, I over came the obstacles. My friends told me I was ugly. They mocked me and ostracized me. I out performed them all. I beat all those great Physicists minds of over 100 years educated in PhD and who teach at prestigious universities. . Inn fact, I outpaced Albert Einstein by fining the Super force. I outpaced Dirac by uniting cosmology and Quantum Mechanics. I solved for the value of the sqrt (-1). I solved the riddle of the greatest problems in Math and Physics. I solved the greatest problems in Philosophy and religion. And that is just the beginning. I wrote 35 books over 10 years living on $859 per month to live on. I looked after my 87 year old father and mother who has Alz. I had Sz in thanks to people whom I befriended - the outcast. And I did it all on my own. I was molested beatened to death, chocked, shot at, poisoned and hit by lightening. Nothing can stop me. I'm a locomotive. And I did it all for you!
Conclusion
Philosophy has a solution that ends in God. The Physics of Astro-Theology by Cusack can be used to access the convergence of the single solution to Philosophy.
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